PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/736,888

Filing Date:

December 16, 2003

Applicant:

Khien Meow David CHEW

Group Art Unit:

2624

Examiner:

Andrae S. ALLISON

Title:

Intelligent Vehicle Access Control System

Attorney Docket:

2599-000022/US

Mail Stop: PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR PETITION FOR REVIVAL UNDER 35 C.F.R. §1.137(b)

Applicant hereby states in support of the Petition For Revival Under 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b) as follows.

On May 16, 2008, an Office Action was issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) in the instant application. A reply to such Office Action was initially due August 16, 2008, but monthly extension fees were available up to and including the final deadline for responding on November 16, 2008. On October 16, 2008, Applicant filed a continuation application (Serial No. 12/252,717; the "continuation '717 application"), claiming priority to the instant U.S. Application Serial No. 10/736,888 (the "parent '888 application").

Applicant's intent was to pay a two-month extension of time fee in the parent '888 application on or before the October 16, 2008 deadline for filing such a two-month extension and to file the continuation '717 application on the same day. Although the continuation '717 application was filed in a timely matter on October 16, 2008, a Petition For Extension Of Time (2 months) was inadvertently not filed in the parent '888 application on the same day as the continuation '717 application was filed in order to maintain co-pendency between the two applications.

On December 10, 2008 the USPTO issued a Notice Of Abandonment of the parent '888 application. Under USPTO rules the effective date of abandonment is the day after expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office Action, plus any extension of time actually obtained. In the parent '888 application, since no extension of time was obtained the date of abandonment is August 17, 2008. If a Petition For Extension Of Time (2 months) had been timely filed and accepted, the date of abandonment would have been October 17, 2008, and since the continuation '717 application was filed on October 16, 2008 the intended requisite co-pendency would have been established.

This Petition For Revival is being filed in order to revive the parent '888 application for purposes of filing a Petition For Extension Of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (two months) for responding to the outstanding Office Action that was issued on May 16, 2008, thereby extending the date of response until October 16, 2008. The Petition For Extension Of Time (2 months) and appropriate fee is also being filed herewith.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) be granted for purposes of accepting the two-month extension fee for responding to the outstanding Office Action in the parent '888 application.

If the USPTO has any questions about the Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), the Petition Under 37 CFR 1.136(a), or this Supplemental Information, he or she is encouraged to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Dated:

JAN. 7, 2009

Paul A. Keller

Reg. No. 29,752

Respectfully submitted,

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

PAK/par

Docket No. 2500-000022/US